Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/751,072	EYCKERMAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Zachary C. Howard	1646
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>		
(1) Zachary C. Howard.	(3)	
(2) Andrew F. Nilles.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 22 March 2005	Time: <u>6:15 pm</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ App  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	elicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 103(a) rejection pages 8-11 of Office Action mailed 2/8/2005		
Claims discussed: 1-8, 11, 13, 15, and 16		
Prior art documents discussed:  Eyckerman et al, WO 01/90188, U.S. Patent No. 5,885,779, a	and Nicholson et al 2000	
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet	NERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summer</li> </ul>	The examiner will provide a writte te record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
ANTHONY C. CAPUTA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600		
zch		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Application	ant/Applicant's Representative Sig	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Upon review of the record, the rejection of claims 1-8, 11, 13, 15 and 16 under 103(a) as unpatentable over Eyckerman et al, WO 01/90188, in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,885,779 and futher in view of Nicholson et al, 2000, as set forth on pages 8 to 11 of the Office Action mailed 2/08/2005 is withdrawn. If Applicant responds to the Office Action, Applicant does not need to respond to the 103(a) rejection. The remainder of the Office Action is maintained. Applicant's time period of response to the Office Action mailed 2/08/2005 is maintained from the mailing date of 2/08/2005.